

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/005,766 11/02/2001                      |                 | Antti Ruha           | 872.0100.U1(US)         | 7390             |
| 29683                                      | 7590 06/05/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP                    |                 |                      | TRAN, PABLO N           |                  |
| 4 RESEARCH DRIVE<br>SHELTON, CT 06484-6212 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  |                 |                      | 2618                    |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief |  |  |  |  |  |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/005,766      | RUHA ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Pablo N. Tran   | 2618         |  |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | Pablo N. Tran   | 2618   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add   | ress                                      |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (  | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C               | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)            |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | g date of the final rejecti                                | on.                                       |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi        | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) a |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                                      | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since      |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | will not be entered b                                      | ocauco                                    |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  |   |  | ecause                                    |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  |   | , ,  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | ter form for appeal by materially re  | ducing or simplifying                                      | the issues for                            |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or<br>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rei   | ected claims   |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | occa olalino.  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.   |   | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | lowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme                                       | ent canceling the                         |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  | will not be entered, or b)      will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will  | ll be entered and an e                                     | explanation of                            |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | t before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affiday  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>rit or other evidence is | ot be entered<br>s necessary and          |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome all rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fa                                     | ils to provide a                          |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attacl                                    | ned.                                      |  |  |  |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowa                                     | nce because:                              |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 13.  Other:  |   | PABLO N.TRA  |   |  |  |  |
|  |   | PRIMARY EXAMI  | NEM                                       |  |  |  |

Part of Paper No. 20060529

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant has amended claims 2, 14, and 40 to overcome the 112 rejection. But, the currently amended limitation still renders the claims indefinite. The examiner suggests that the limitation be change to "said another". There are no remarks as to the 102 and 103 rejections.